Attorney Docket No.: Q95836

REMARKS

This is in response to the final Office Action dated January 7, 2009, and is respectfully

submitted to be fully responsive to the rejections raised therein. Accordingly, favorable

reconsideration on the merits and allowance are respectfully requested. Claims 1 and 3-7 are all

the claims pending in the application.

Response to Rejection

Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as assertedly being unpatentable

over JP 05-302026 (Toda) in view of JP 05-059267 (Watabe).

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests that the rejection be withdrawn in view of

the remarks as set forth in the Amendment filed November 25, 2008 and in view of the

additional remarks below.

Applicant submits that Toda and Watabe are directed to sealing materials. The

composition described in Toda is used for a sealing material, and as such, the composition does

not require a high adhesive strength. See Toda at paragraph [0001]. If the composition in Toda

has too much adhesive strength, it may adversely cause pollution to form on the substrate. The

curable composition in Toda comprises (a) a polymer having a main chain essentially consisting

of a polyether and terminated with a cross-linkable hydrolyzable silyl group, (b) a resin, such as

a rosin ester resin, and (c) a curing catalyst. The Examiner concedes that Toda does not teach

the addition of an oxyalkylene polymer, which corresponds to component (B) of the

presently claimed composition.

Applicant respectfully submits that the compositions in Toda are comparable to the

compositions in Comparative Examples 1 and 2 of the present application. Namely, the

2

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Attorney Docket No.: Q95836

Application No.: 10/586,858

compositions in Comparative Examples 1 and 2 do not contain a hydrolysable silyl group-

containing organic polymer containing 0.3 to 1.3 hydrolyzable silyl groups per molecule and

having a number average molecular weight of 500 to 15, 000, the main chain of which polymer

being substantially composed of a repeating unit or units represented by the general formula

-R¹-O- (R¹ being a divalent alkylene group); i.e., present component (B).

Particularly, in Comparative Example 1, as described in the present application, 50

weight parts of tackifier resin is used, but the adhesive strength of the compositon is only 7.6

N/25 mm. In Comparative Example 2, the amount of tackifier resin used is as large as 100

weight parts, but the adhesive strength of the composition is only 10.2 N/25 mm. The results in

Table 1 indicate that the addition of even a large amount of tackifier resin does not improve

adhesive strength of the composition. Comparative Example 2 uses toluene to reduce the

viscosity of the composition which was increased by a large amount of tackifier resin.

However, the addition of toluene does not effect the adhesive strength.

The pressure sensitive adhesive product of the present invention is obtained by curing the

pressure sensitive adhesive composition comprising the components (A), (B) and (C), as recited

in claim 1. Specifically, combining component (B) with component (A) achieves a very high

adhesive strength. Therefore, a large amount of tackifier resin is not required and a highly

viscous composition can be prevented.

Applicant further submits that the adhesive strength triples or quadruples when

component (B) is present in the composition; as can be seen in Examples 1 or 2 compared with

Comparative Example 1 of the present application.

3

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Attorney Docket No.: Q95836

Application No.: 10/586,858

Applicant submits that although components may look superficially similar, the pressure

sensitive adhesive composition obtained in the present claimed invention is very different from

the composition that is obtained for the sealing material in Toda.

The object of the presently claimed invention is to resolve problems unique to pressure

sensitive adhesive compositions. Namely, the object of the present claimed invention is to

improve the adhesive strength and to control the viscosity of the composition. These features are

not material to sealing materials as described in Toda and Watabe. The inventions in Toda and

Watabe are related to a sealing material and do not have these problems.

Watabe teaches the use of a low molecular weight compound (II), as a plasticizer to

obtain a sealing material which has a low transitivity (low degree of weight decrease).

Compound (II) in Watabe is analogous to component (B) in the presently claimed composition.

However, Applicant respectfully submits that it is unpredictable from Watabe that a combination

of components (A) and (B) can improve the adhesive strength, reduce the amount of tackifier

resin, and thus, reduce the amount of solvent used in the composition.

The presently claimed invention is not rendered obvious by the Toda, either alone or in

combination with Watabe. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully request that the rejection of

claims 1 and 3-7 be withdrawn.

II. Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of claims 1 and 3-7 of this

application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby earnestly solicited.

4

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Attorney Docket No.: Q95836

Application No.: 10/586,858

If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a

personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned

attorney at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Nyeemah A. Grazier/

Nyeemah A. Grazier
Registration No. 63,657

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

washington office 23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: April 7, 2009